

Supplementary Materials for “Probabilistic Rank-One Tensor Analysis with Concurrent Regularizations”



1 DERIVATIONS OF THE LOG-LIKELIHOOD FUNCTION

The detailed derivations of the log-likelihood function, i.e., expression (6) in our paper, are as follows: The p.d.f. of the matrix-variate distribution $p(\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{N}_{I_1, I_2}(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{\Xi}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_1, \mathbf{\Sigma}_2)$ is given by

$$p(\mathbf{X}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}I_1 I_2} |\mathbf{\Sigma}_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}I_2} |\mathbf{\Sigma}_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}I_1} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma}_1^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{\Xi}) \mathbf{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{\Xi})^\top \right) \right\}. \quad (1)$$

With the above results, the conditional distribution $p(\mathbf{X}_{m(n)}|\mathbf{z}_m) = \mathcal{N}_{I_n, I^{(n^-)}}(\mathbf{X}_{m(n)}|\mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top}, \sigma \mathbf{I}_{I_n}, \sigma \mathbf{I}_{I^{(n^-)}})$, i.e., expression (5) in our paper, can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{X}_{m(n)}|\mathbf{z}_m) &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}I_n I^{(n^-)}} |\sigma \mathbf{I}_{I_n}|^{-\frac{1}{2}I^{(n^-)}} |\sigma \mathbf{I}_{I^{(n^-)}}|^{-\frac{1}{2}I_n} \\ &\exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left(\sigma^{-1} \mathbf{I}_{I_n} (\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top}) \sigma^{-1} \mathbf{I}_{I^{(n^-)}} (\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top})^\top \right) \right\} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}I_n I^{(n^-)}} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}I^{(n^-)} I_n} \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}I_n I^{(n^-)}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top}\|_F^2 \right\} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}I} (\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}I} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top}\|_F^2 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \in \mathbb{R}^{I^{(n^-)} \times P}$ is the mode- n complement factor matrix with $\mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} = \mathbf{U}^{(N)} \odot \dots \odot \mathbf{U}^{(n+1)} \odot \mathbf{U}^{(n-1)} \odot \dots \odot \mathbf{U}^{(1)}$, $I^{(n^-)} = \prod_{k \neq n} I_k$, and $I = I_n I^{(n^-)} = \prod_n I_n$. The above p.d.f. is obtained by substituting $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_{m(n)}$, $\mathbf{\Xi} = \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top}$, $\mathbf{\Sigma}_1 = \sigma \mathbf{I}_{I_n}$, and $\mathbf{\Sigma}_2 = \sigma \mathbf{I}_{I^{(n^-)}}$ into (1). Here, we have used the subscript to explicitly indicate the sizes of the identity matrices $\mathbf{I}_{I_n} \in \mathbb{R}^{I_n \times I_n}$ and $\mathbf{I}_{I^{(n^-)}} \in \mathbb{R}^{I^{(n^-)} \times I^{(n^-)}}$ for clarity.

From (2), the logarithm of $p(\mathbf{X}_{m(n)}|\mathbf{z}_m)$ is given by

$$\ln p(\mathbf{X}_{m(n)}|\mathbf{z}_m) = -\frac{1}{2} \{ I \ln 2\pi + I \ln \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \|\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top}\|_F^2 \}. \quad (3)$$

In addition, $p(\mathbf{z}_m) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{z}_m|\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}P} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}_m^\top \mathbf{z}_m\}$, and its logarithm is given by $\ln p(\mathbf{z}_m) = -\frac{1}{2} \{ P \ln 2\pi + \mathbf{z}_m^\top \mathbf{z}_m \}$. Therefore, the expectation of the log-likelihood function, i.e., expression (6) in our paper, can be derived as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \sum_{m=1}^M \langle \ln p(\mathbf{X}_{m(n)}, \mathbf{z}_m) \rangle = \sum_{m=1}^M \langle \ln p(\mathbf{X}_{m(n)}|\mathbf{z}_m) + \ln p(\mathbf{z}_m) \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ \{ I \ln 2\pi + I \ln \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \langle \|\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top}\|_F^2 \rangle \} + \{ P \ln 2\pi + \langle \mathbf{z}_m^\top \mathbf{z}_m \rangle \} \right\} \\ &= -\sum_{m=1}^M \left[\frac{I}{2} \ln \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{z}_m^\top \mathbf{z}_m \rangle + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \langle \|\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}_m) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top}\|_F^2 \rangle \right] + \text{const.} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

2 DERIVATIONS OF THE UPDATE OF $\mathbf{U}^{(n)}$

Given the parameter set $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \{\mathbf{U}^{(n)}, \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)}, \sigma^2\}$, the log-likelihood function (4) can be rewritten by grouping the terms related to $\mathbf{U}^{(n)}$ together and *omitting* other terms as a constant, which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \langle \|\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}_m) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top} \|_F^2 \rangle \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \text{tr} \left(\langle (\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}_m) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top}) (\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}_m) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top})^\top \rangle \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{X}_{m(n)}^\top + \langle \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}_m) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}_m) \mathbf{U}^{(n)\top} \rangle - 2\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \mathbf{U}^{(n)\top} \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{X}_{m(n)}^\top + \mathbf{U}^{(n)} (\langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)}) \mathbf{U}^{(n)\top} - 2\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \mathbf{U}^{(n)\top} \right). \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we can take the partial derivative with respect to $\mathbf{U}^{(n)}$ and solve

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{U}^{(n)}} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ 2\mathbf{U}^{(n)} (\langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)}) - 2\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \right\} = 0. \quad (6)$$

It is clear that the solution of $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{U}^{(n)}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)} \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \right] &= \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \\
\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)} &= \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \right] \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \right]^{-1}, \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to expression (11) in our paper.

3 DERIVATIONS OF THE UPDATE OF σ^2

Similarly, we can rewrite the log-likelihood function (4) by only considering the terms related to σ^2 , which leads to

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{MI}{2} \ln \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \langle \|\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}_m) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top} \|_F^2 \rangle. \quad (8)$$

We then take the partial derivative with respect to σ^2 and solve

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{MI}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2)^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \langle \|\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}_m) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top} \|_F^2 \rangle = 0. \quad (9)$$

The solution of $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \sigma^2} = 0$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{MI}{2} \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}^2} &= \frac{1}{2(\tilde{\sigma}^2)^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \langle \|\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}_m) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top} \|_F^2 \rangle \\
MI \tilde{\sigma}^2 &= \sum_{m=1}^M \langle \|\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}_m) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top} \|_F^2 \rangle \\
\tilde{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{MI} \sum_{m=1}^M \langle \|\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}_m) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top} \|_F^2 \rangle, \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

where the solution of $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{U}^{(n)}} = 0$, i.e. $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)}$ (updated $\mathbf{U}^{(n)}$), has been used to compute $\langle \|\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \tilde{\mathbf{U}} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}_m) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top} \|_F^2 \rangle$.

The above solution of $\tilde{\sigma}^2$ can be further simplified by substituting (7) into (10). From (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{MI} \sum_{m=1}^M \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{X}_{m(n)}^\top + \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)} (\langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)}) \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)\top} - 2\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)\top} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{MI} \sum_{m=1}^M \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{X}_{m(n)}^\top - \mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)\top} \right), \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to expression (12) in our paper. It is worth noting that the second equality of (11) holds because of the following fact:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=1}^M \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)} (\langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)}) \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)\top} = \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)} \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \right] \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)\top} \\
& = \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \right] \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \right] \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)\top} \\
& = \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)\top}. \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

4 DERIVATIONS OF THE UPDATE OF $\mathbf{U}^{(n)}$ WITH L_2 REGULARIZATION

Recall that the L_2 -regularized log-likelihood function is

$$\mathcal{L}^{L_2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \gamma \sum_{n=1}^N \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}^{(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n)\top}), \tag{13}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is given by (4). By only considering the terms related to $\mathbf{U}^{(n)}$, $\mathcal{L}^{L_2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ becomes

$$\mathcal{L}^{L_2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \langle \|\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}_m) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top}\|_F^2 \rangle - \gamma \text{tr}(\mathbf{U}^{(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n)\top}). \tag{14}$$

With the similar derivations in (6), we take the partial derivative of $\mathcal{L}^{L_2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ with respect to $\mathbf{U}^{(n)}$ and solve

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}^{L_2}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{U}^{(n)}} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \left\{ 2\mathbf{U}^{(n)} (\langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)}) - 2\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \right\} - 2\gamma \mathbf{U}^{(n)} = 0. \tag{15}$$

The solution of $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{U}^{(n)}} = 0$ can be obtained by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)} \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \right] + 2\gamma \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \\
& \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)} \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \right] + 2\sigma^2 \gamma \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)} = \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \\
& \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)} \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} + 2\sigma^2 \gamma \mathbf{I} \right] = \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \\
& \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)} = \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \right] \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} + 2\sigma^2 \gamma \mathbf{I} \right]^{-1}. \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

Since σ^2 is considered as a constant during the update of $\mathbf{U}^{(n)}$, without loss of generality, the scale $2\sigma^2$ can be *absorbed into* the regularization parameter γ , and finally we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)} = \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \right] \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} + \gamma \mathbf{I} \right]^{-1}, \tag{17}$$

which leads to expression (14) in our paper.

5 DERIVATIONS OF THE UPDATE OF $\mathbf{U}^{(n)}$ WITH MOMENT-BASED CONCURRENT REGULARIZATION

Recall that moment-based CR aims to improve the conditioning of $\langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle$ as follows:

$$\langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle^{\text{MCR}} = \langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle + \frac{\gamma}{M} \mathbf{I}. \tag{18}$$

Replacing the original second-order moment $\langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle$ by $\langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle^{\text{MCR}}$ in (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{(n)} &= \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \right] \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle^{\text{MCR}} \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \right]^{-1} \\
&= \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \right] \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \left(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle + \frac{\gamma}{M} \mathbf{I} \right) \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \right]^{-1} \\
&= \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \right] \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} + \gamma \mathbf{I} \circledast (\mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)}) \right]^{-1} \\
&= \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \right] \left[\sum_{m=1}^M \langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle \circledast \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} + \gamma \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(n^-)} \right]^{-1}, \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have defined $\mathbf{\Lambda}^{(n^-)} = \mathbf{I} \circledast (\mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)})$. This eventually leads to expression (17) in our paper.

6 DERIVATIONS OF THE JOINT DISTRIBUTION FOR PROTA WITH BAYESIAN CR

PROTA with Bayesian CR has the following joint distribution:

$$p(\mathcal{D}, \Theta) = \prod_{m=1}^M p(\mathcal{X}_m | \mathbf{z}_m, \{\mathbf{U}^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^N, \tau) \prod_{m=1}^M p(\mathbf{z}_m) \prod_{n=1}^N p(\mathbf{U}^{(n)}) p(\tau). \tag{20}$$

The logarithm of $p(\mathcal{D}, \Theta)$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln p(\mathcal{D}, \Theta) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^M \left[\tau \|\mathbf{X}_{m(n)} - \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \text{diag}(\mathbf{z}_m) \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top}\|_F^2 - I \ln \tau + \mathbf{z}_m^\top \mathbf{z}_m \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \gamma \langle \tau \rangle \text{tr} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \langle \mathbf{\Lambda}^{(n)} \rangle \mathbf{U}^{(n)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \right) + (a_0 - 1) \ln \tau - b_0 \tau + \text{const.} \tag{21}
\end{aligned}$$

With the above formulation, we can perform variational inference by substituting (21) into the optimized form of the variational distributions as follows:

$$\ln q_j(\Theta_j) \propto \langle \ln p(\mathcal{D}, \Theta) \rangle_{\Theta \setminus \Theta_j}. \tag{22}$$

7 EXPECTATIONS FOR THE VARIATIONAL UPDATES

The expectations involved in updating the variational distributions $q(\mathbf{z}_m)$, $q(\mathbf{U}^{(n)})$, and $q(\tau)$, i.e., equations (24), (25), and (26) in our paper, respectively, can be computed as follows:

$$\langle \tau \rangle = \frac{a_\tau}{b_\tau}, \tag{23}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \rangle = \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{X}_{m(n)} \langle \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \rangle \text{diag}(\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle) \mathbf{\Sigma}^{(n)}, \tag{24}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}^{(n)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \rangle = I_n \mathbf{\Sigma}^{(n)} + \langle \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \rangle^\top \langle \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \rangle, \tag{25}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{U}^{(N)} \rangle \circledast \dots \circledast \langle \mathbf{U}^{(n+1)} \rangle \circledast \langle \mathbf{U}^{(n-1)} \rangle \circledast \dots \circledast \langle \mathbf{U}^{(1)} \rangle, \tag{26}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n^-)} \rangle = \circledast_{k \neq n} \langle \mathbf{U}^{(k)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(k)} \rangle \tag{27}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{W} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{U}^{(N)} \rangle \circledast \dots \circledast \langle \mathbf{U}^{(1)} \rangle, \tag{28}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{W} \rangle = \circledast_{n=1}^N \langle \mathbf{U}^{(n)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \rangle, \tag{29}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle = \langle \tau \rangle \mathbf{\Sigma}_z \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle^\top \text{vec}(\mathcal{X}_m), \tag{30}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle = \mathbf{\Sigma}_z + \langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle \langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle^\top, \tag{31}$$

where we define $\circledast_{n=1}^N \langle \mathbf{U}^{(n)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n)} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{U}^{(N)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(N)} \rangle \circledast \dots \circledast \langle \mathbf{U}^{(1)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(1)} \rangle$, and $\circledast_{k \neq n} \langle \mathbf{U}^{(k)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(k)} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{U}^{(N)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(N)} \rangle \circledast \dots \circledast \langle \mathbf{U}^{(n+1)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n+1)} \rangle \circledast \langle \mathbf{U}^{(n-1)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(n-1)} \rangle \circledast \dots \circledast \langle \mathbf{U}^{(1)^\top} \mathbf{U}^{(1)} \rangle$. Finally, the expectation of the model fitting error can be computed by

$$\langle \|\text{vec}(\mathcal{X}_m) - \mathbf{W} \mathbf{z}_m\|^2 \rangle = \text{vec}(\mathcal{X}_m)^\top \text{vec}(\mathcal{X}_m) - 2 \text{vec}(\mathcal{X}_m) \langle \mathbf{W} \rangle \langle \mathbf{z}_m \rangle + \text{tr}(\langle \mathbf{W}^\top \mathbf{W} \rangle \langle \mathbf{z}_m \mathbf{z}_m^\top \rangle). \tag{32}$$